

Sample evaluator review

A reviewer built in AppsTech Prysme from the scoring grid of the Digital Futures Fund 2026 call reviewed the grant proposal Digital Skills for Small Manufacturers. This document reproduces the review as it appears in Prysme.

Demo data. The organization, the call, the proposal and the review are fictional.



Evaluator for Digital Futures Fund 2026

Sep 30, 04:12 PM

74/100

SHORTLIST

"The need is well argued and the work plan is realistic for twelve months. The expected results still read like a list of activities, and the budget narrative does not match the table."

C1 Need and fit with the fund's priorities (20%)

Ties the skills gap to the fund's priority on small manufacturers, with regional employer data.




C2 Quality of the approach and work plan (30%)

Clear sequence of cohorts and coaching visits; each partner's role is named.



C3 Measurable results and evaluation (30%)

Indicators are sound; the narrative counts the sessions held and says little about what changed for workers and firms.



C4 Budget and value for money (20%)

Cost per worker trained is reasonable; the narrative total differs from the table.



CHECKS

To fix Figures with a visible origin · [Goal and objectives](#)

"85% of participants will advance within a year" has no source in the text or the annexes.

OK Results stated as changes for people or institutions

Outcomes in the logical framework describe changes for workers and firms.

To fix Budget narrative, budget table and work plan agree · [Budget and budget narrative](#)

The narrative states \$185,000; the budget table totals \$180,000.

STRENGTHS

- Need supported by a survey of regional employers.
- Named college partners, with a defined role in each cohort.

WEAKNESSES

- Expected results restate the activities.
- Budget narrative and budget table give different totals.

WHAT TO FIX

High Budget and budget narrative

"The budget totals \$185,000 over twelve months."

Narrative total does not match the budget table.

State the table total, \$180,000, and the share of each main line.

High Goal and objectives

"85% of participants will advance within a year."

Target with no visible origin.

Cite the source of the 85% target, or replace it with a target from the logical framework.

Medium Monitoring, evaluation and learning

No method to measure employment six months after training.

Describe the follow-up survey with participating firms and its timing.

QUESTIONS THEY WOULD ASK YOU

- How will you confirm that trained workers are still employed six months later?